

## Intravenous Access Guideline: Arnold Luterman Regional Burn Center acb 7.17.2020

**Background:** burn patients often require significant resuscitation, medications, and prolonged access. In addition, lines must be durable and withstand the physical manipulation of frequent wound care.

The choice of IVs must take into consideration multiple factors, including:

- **BURN:** burn proximity, >5cm away is ideal to mitigate infection
- **TISSUE:** local edema may worsen and compromise PIV sites in extremities or burned skin
- **PATIENT:** examine venous anatomy and circumstances such as infection (?IV vanc) and organ failure (?pressors, or HD access)

### Peripheral Access

Obtain early and try to get two 20  
20ga or larger lines distal to  
antecubital fossa

Anticipate edema – will the PIV  
survive edema in this location?

### Midline or PICC Access

Obtain early when prolonged  
access predicted so that PIV sites  
are preserved. These lines are  
not placed through burn

PICCs > Midline in those who will  
need Vancomycin

Anticipate PIV problems – will  
the PIVs burn out or IV access  
become an issue with  
treatments?

### Central Access

Obtain using sterile techniques if  
other sites not viable such as  
when the burn distribution  
obscures viable sites, or when  
the patient has renal failure.

Sonogram  
Guided IJ  
optimal

Femoral line >  
other central line  
Through burn

Right IJ is the optimal  
location if hemodialysis is  
anticipated

Subclavian lines have higher  
incidence of central venous  
stenosis